Guidance

Overview

The Better Care Fund (BCF) quarterly monitoring template is used to ensure that Health and Wellbeing Board (HWB) areas continue to meet the requirements of the BCF over the lifetime of their plan and enable areas to provide insight on health and social integration.

The local governance mechanism for the BCF is the Health and Wellbeing Board, which should sign off the report or make appropriate arrangements to delegate this.

Note on entering information into this template

Throughout the template, cells which are open for input have a yellow background and those that are pre-populated have a grey background, as below:

Data needs inputting in the cell

Pre-populated cell

Note on viewing the sheets optimally

To more optimally view each of the sheets and in particular the drop down lists clearly on screen, please change the zoom level between 90% - 100%. Most drop downs are also available to view as lists within the relevant sheet or in the guidance tab for readability if required.

If required, the row heights can be adjusted to fit and view text more comfortably for the cells that require narrative information. Please note that the column widths are not flexible.

The details of each sheet within the template are outlined below.

Checklis

- 1. This sheet helps identify the data fields that have not been completed. All fields that appear as incomplete should be complete before sending to the Better Care Support Team.
- 2. It is sectioned out by sheet name and contains the description of the information required, cell reference (hyperlinked) for the question and the 'checker' column which updates automatically as questions within each sheet are completed.
- 3. The checker column will appear "Red" and contain the word "No" if the information has not been completed. Clicking on the corresponding "Cell Reference" column will link to the incomplete cell for completion. Once completed the checker column will change to "Green" and contain the word "Yes"
- 4. The 'sheet completed' cell will update when all 'checker' values for the sheet are green containing the word 'Yes'.
- 5. Once the checker column contains all cells marked 'Yes' the 'Incomplete Template' cell (below the title) will change to 'Complete Template'.
- 6. Please ensure that all boxes on the checklist tab are green before submission.

1. Cover

- 1. The cover sheet provides essential information on the area for which the template is being completed, contacts and sign off.
- 2. Question completion tracks the number of questions that have been completed; when all the questions in each section of the template have been completed the cell will turn green. Only when all cells are green should the template be sent to england.bettercaresupport@nhs.net

2. National Conditions & s75 Pooled Budget

This section requires the Health & Wellbeing Board to confirm whether the four national conditions detailed in the Integration and Better Care Fund planning requirements for 2017-19 continue to be met through the delivery of your plan. Please confirm as at the time of completion.

https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/integration-better-care-fund-planning-requirements.pdf

This sheet sets out the four conditions and requires the Health & Wellbeing Board to confirm 'Yes' or 'No' that these continue to be met. Should 'No' be selected, please provide an explanation as to why the condition was not met within the quarter and how this is being addressed. Please note that where a National Condition is not being met, the HWB is expected to contact their Better Care Manager.

In summary, the four national conditions are as below:

National condition 1: A jointly agreed plan

Please note: This also includes confirming the continued agreement on the jointly agreed plan for DFG spending

National condition 2: NHS contribution to social care is maintained in line with inflation

National condition 3: Agreement to invest in NHS-commissioned out-of-hospital services

National condition 4: Implementation of the High Impact Change Model for Managing Transfers of Care

3. National Metrics

The BCF plan includes the following four metrics: Non-Elective Admissions, Delayed Transfers of Care, Residential Admissions and Reablement. As part of the BCF plan for 2017-19, planned targets have been agreed for these metrics.

This section captures a confidence assessment on meeting these BCF planned targets for each of the BCF metrics.

A brief commentary is requested for each metric outlining the challenges faced in meeting the BCF targets, any achievements realised and an opportunity to flag any Support Needs the local system may have recognised where assistance may be required to facilitate or accelerate the achievement of the BCF targets.

As a reminder, if the BCF planned targets should be referenced as below:

- Residential Admissions and Reablement: BCF plan targets were set out on the BCF Planning Template
- Non Elective Admissions (NEA): The BCF plan mirrors the CCG (Clinical Commissioning Groups) Operating Plans for Non Elective Admissions except where areas have put in additional reductions over and above these plans in the BCF planning template. Where areas have done so and require a confirmation of their BCF NEA plan targets, please write into england.bettercaresupport@nhs.net

Please note that while NEA activity is not currently being reported against CCG Operating Plans (due to comparability issues relating to specialised commissioning), HWBs can still use NEA activity to monitor progress for reducing NEAs.

- Delayed Transfers of Care (DToC): The BCF plan targets for DToC for the current year 2017/18 should be referenced against the agreed trajectory submitted on the separate DToC monthly collection template for 2017/18.

The progress narrative should be reported against this agreed monthly trajectory as part of the HWB's plan

This sheet seeks seeks a best estimate of confidence on progress against targets and the related narrative information and it is advised that:

- In making the confidence assessment on progress against targets, please utilise the available published metric data (which should be typically available for 2 of the 3 months) in conjunction with the interim/proxy metric information for the third month (which is eventually the source of the published data once agreed and validated) to provide a directional estimate.
- In providing the narrative on Challenges, Achievements and Support need, most areas have a sufficiently good perspective on these themes by the end of the quarter and the unavailability of published metric data for one of the three months of the quarter is not expected to hinder the ability to provide this very useful information. Please also reflect on the metric performance trend when compared to the quarter from the previous year emphasising any improvement or deterioration observed or anticipated and any associated comments to explain.

Please note that the metrics themselves will be referenced (and reported as required) as per the standard national published datasets.

4. High Impact Change Model

The BCF National Condition 4 requires areas to implement the High Impact Change Model for Managing Transfer of Care. Please identify your local system's current level of maturity for each of the eight change areas for the reported quarter and the planned / expected level of maturity for the subsequent quarters in this year.

The maturity levels utilised are the ones described in the High Impact Changes Model (link below) and an explanation for each is included in the key below: Not yet established - The initiative has not been implemented within the HWB area

Planned - There is a viable plan to implement the initiative / has been partially implemented within some areas of the HWB geography

Established - The initiative has been established within the HWB area but has not yet provided proven benefits / outcomes

Mature - The initiative is well embedded within the HWB area and is meeting some of the objectives set for improvement

Exemplary - The initiative is fully functioning, sustainable and providing proven outcomes against the objectives set for improvement https://www.local.gov.uk/our-support/our-improvement-offer/care-and-health-improvement/systems-resilience/high-impact-change-model

Where the selected maturity levels for the reported quarter are 'Mature' or 'Exemplary', please provide further detail on the initiatives implemented and related actions that have led to this assessment.

For each of the HICM changes please outline the challenges and issues in implementation, the milestone achievements that have been met in the reported quarter and any impact to highlight, and any support needs identified to facilitate or accelerate the implementation of the respective changes.

Hospital Transfer Protocol (or the Red Bag Scheme):

The template also collects updates on areas' implementation of the optional 'Red Bag' scheme. Delivery of this scheme is not a requirement of the Better Care Fund, but we have agreed to collect information on its implementation locally via the BCF quarterly reporting template.

Please report on implementation of a Hospital Transfer Protocol (also known as the 'Red Bag scheme') to enhance communication and information sharing when residents move between care settings and hospital.

Where there are no plans to implement such a scheme please provide a narrative on alternative mitigations in place to support improved communications in hospital transfer arrangements for social care residents.

Further information on the Red Bag / Hospital Transfer Protocol:

A quick guide is currently in draft format. Further guidance is available on the Kahootz system or on request from the NHS England Hospital to Home team. The link to the Sutton Homes of Care Vanguard – Hospital Transfer Pathway (Red Bag) scheme is as below:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XoYZPXmULHE

The HICM maturity assessment (particularly where there are multiple CCGs and A&E Delivery Boards (AEDBs)) may entail making a best judgment across the AEDB and CCG lenses to indicatively reflect an implementation maturity for the HWB. However, the AEDB lens is a more representative operational lens to reflect both health and social systems. Where there are wide variations in their maturity levels, making a conservative judgment is advised. Please note these observed wide variations in the narrative section on 'Challenges'.

Also, please use the 'Challenges' narrative section where your area would like to highlight a preferred approach proposed for making this assessment, which could be useful in informing design considerations for subsequent reporting.

To better understand the spread and impact of Trusted Assessor schemes, when providing the narrative for "Milestones met during the quarter / Observed impact" please consider including the proportion of care homes within the locality participating in Trusted Assessor schemes. Also, any evaluated impacts noted from active Trusted Assessor schemes (e.g. reduced hospital discharge delays, reduced hospital Length of Stay for patients awaiting care home placements, reduced care home vacancy rates) would be welcome.

5. Income & Expenditure

The Better Care Fund 2017-19 pool constitutes mandatory funding sources and any voluntary additional pooling from LAs (Local Authorities) and CCGs. The mandatory funding sources are the DFG (Disabled Facilities Grant), the improved Better Care Fund (iBCF) grant and the minimum CCG contribution. A large proportion of areas also planned to pool additional contributions from LA and CCGs. Instead of collecting Income/Expenditure on a quarterly basis as was the case in previous years 2015/16 & 2016/17, 2017/18 requires annual reporting of Income and Expenditure at a HWB total level.

Income section:

- Please confirm the total HWB level actual BCF pooled income for 2017/18 by reporting any changes to the planned additional contributions by LAs and CCGs as was reported on the BCF planning template. Please enter the actual income from additional CCG and LA contributions in 2017/18 in the yellow boxes provided.
- Please provide any comments that may be useful for local context for the reported actual income in 2017/18.

Expenditure section:

- Please enter the total HWB level actual BCF expenditure for 2017/18 in the yellow box provided.
- Please provide any comments that may be useful for local context for the reported actual expenditure in 2017/18.

S Voor End Foodback

This section provides an opportunity to provide feedback on delivering the BCF in 2017-18 through a set of survey questions which are overall consistent with those from previous years.

The purpose of this survey is to provide an opportunity for local areas to consider the impact of BCF and to provide the BCF national partners a view on the impact across the country. There are a total of 9 questions. These are set out below.

Part 1 - Delivery of the Better Care Fund

There are a total of 10 questions in this section. Each is set out as a statement, for which you are asked to select one of the following responses:

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

The questions are:

- 1. The overall delivery of the BCF has improved joint working between health and social care in our locality
- 2. Our BCF schemes were implemented as planned in 2017/18
- 3. The delivery of our BCF plan in 2017/18 had a positive impact on the integration of health and social care in our locality
- 4. The delivery of our BCF plan in 2017/18 has contributed positively to managing the levels of Non-Elective Admissions
- 5. The delivery of our BCF plan in 2017/18 has contributed positively to managing the levels of Delayed Transfers of Care
- 6. The delivery of our BCF plan in 2017/18 has contributed positively to managing the proportion of older people (aged 65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement/rehabilitation services
- 7. The delivery of our BCF plan in 2017/18 has contributed positively to managing the rate of residential and nursing care home admissions for older people (aged 65 and over)

Part 2 - Successes and Challenges

This part of the survey utilises the SCIE (Social Care Institue for Excellence) Integration Logic Model published on this link below to capture two key challenges and successes against the 'Enablers for integration' expressed in the Logic Model.

Please highlight:

- 8. Outline two key successes observed toward driving the enablers for integration (expressed in SCIE's logic model) in 2017/18.
- 9. Outline two key challenges observed toward driving the enablers for integration (expressed in SCIE's logic model) in 2017/18?

As noted above, these are free text responses to be assigned to one of the following categories from the SCIE Integration Logic Model - Enablers summarised below. Please see link below for fuller details:

SCIE - Integrated care Logic Model

- 1. Local contextual factors (e.g. financial health, funding arrangements, demographics, urban vs rurual factors)
- 2. Strong, system-wide governance and systems leadership
- 3. Integrated electronic records and sharing across the system with service users
- 4. Empowering users to have choice and control through an asset based approach, shared decision making and co-production
- 5. Integrated workforce: joint approach to training and upskilling of workforce
- 6. Good quality and sustainable provider market that can meet demand
- 7. Joined-up regulatory approach
- 8. Pooled or aligned resources
- 9. Joint commissioning of health and social care

7. Narrative

This section captures information to provide the wider context around health and social integration.

Please tell us about the progress made locally to the area's vision and plan for integration set out in your BCF narrative plan for 2017-19. This might include significant milestones met, any agreed variations to the plan and any challenges.

Please tell us about an integration success story observed over reported quarter highlighting the nature of the service or scheme and the related impact.

1. Cover

Version 1.1	
-------------	--

Please Note:

- You are reminded that much of the data in this template, to which you have privileged access, is management information only and is not in the public domain. It is not to be shared more widely than is necessary to complete the return.
- Any accidental or wrongful release should be reported immediately and may lead to an inquiry. Wrongful release includes indications of the content, including such descriptions as "favourable" or "unfavourable".
- Please prevent inappropriate use by treating this information as restricted, refrain from passing information on to others and use it only for the purposes for which it is provided.
- This template is password protected to ensure data integrity and accurate aggregation of collected information. A resubmission may be required if this is breached.

Health and Wellbeing Board:	Shropshire
Completed by:	Penny Bason
E-mail:	penny.bason@shropshire.gov.uk
Contact number:	01743 252767
Who signed off the report on behalf of the Health and Wellbeing Board:	Clir Lee Chapman

Question Completion - when all questions have been answered and the validation boxes below have turned green you should send the template to england.bettercaresupport@nhs.net saving the file as 'Name HWB' for example 'County Durham HWB'

Complete					
	Pending Fields				
1. Cover	0				
2. National Conditions & s75 Pooled Budget	0				
3. National Metrics	0				
4. High Impact Change Model	0				
5. Income & Expenditure	0				
6. Year End Feedback	4				
7. Narrative	0				

2. National Conditions & s75 Pooled Budget

Selected Health and Well Being Board:	Shropshire

Confirmation of National Conditions		
National Condition	Confirmation	If the answer is "No" please provide an explanation as to why the condition was not met within the quarter and how this is being addressed:
1) Plans to be jointly agreed? (This also includes agreement with district councils on use of Disabled Facilities Grant in two tier areas)	Yes	
2) Planned contribution to social care from the CCG minimum contribution is agreed in line with the Planning Requirements?	Yes	
3) Agreement to invest in NHS commissioned out of hospital services?	Yes	
4) Managing transfers of care?	Yes	

Confirmation of s75 Pooled Budget								
Statement	Response	If the answer is "No" please provide an explanation as to why the condition was not met within	If the answer to the above is 'No' please indicate when this will happen (DD/MM/YYYY)					
Have the funds been pooled via a s.75 pooled budget?		The section 75 agreement is still in draft to be agreed at the May HWBB. It was anticipated that this agreement would be signed early in 2018, however, the agreement has been part of the review of all BCF schemes, and this piece of work has taken longer than anticipated						
	No		24/05/18					

3. Metrics

Selected Health and Well Being Board: Shropshire

Metric	Definition	Assessment of progress against the planned target for the quarter	Challenges	Achievements	Support Needs
NEA	Reduction in non-elective admissions	On track to meet target	peforming well and working across the system to ensure that health and care consistently achieve target.	Dec - 2588, Jan 2903, Feb 2528 - TARGET Q4 - 8475.	n/a
Res Admissions	Rate of permanent admissions to residential care per 100,000 population (65+)	On track to meet target	right packages of care being provided at the right time. Currently any challenges are dealt with proactively within the system	Quarter 1 Quarter 2 Quarter 3 Quart 4 150 300 450 600.3 83.5 150.8 317.7 449.7	n/a
Reablement	Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement / rehabilitation services	On track to meet target	Challenges are being dealt within system	Quarter 1 Quarter 2 Quarter 3 Quart 4 82% 82% 82% 83.2% 81.09% 82%	n/a
Delayed Transfers of Care*	Delayed Transfers of Care (delayed days)	On track to meet target	.,	Quarter 1 Quarter 2 Quarter 3 Quarter 4 Q 1 - 2425 Q 2 - 2035 Q3 - 1580 Q4 - On target	n/a

^{*} Your assessment of progress against the Delayed Transfer of Care target should reflect progress against the monthly trajectory submitted separately on the DToC trajectory template

4. High Impact Change Model

Selected	Health	and	Well	Bein

ing Shropshire

Board:			Ma	turity assessn	nent			Narr	ative	
		Q2 17/18	Q3 17/18	Q4 17/18 (Current)	Q1 18/19 (Planned)	Q2 18/19 (Planned)	If 'Mature' or 'Exemplary', please provide further rationale to support this assessment	Challenges	Milestones met during the quarter / Observed impact	Support needs
Chg 1	Early discharge planning	Established	Established	Established	Established	Mature		For planned care early discharge planning needs to be part of the GP 5 YFV and system planning - resource to support elements of planned care needs to be found	implement frailty pathway from the acute front door and early planning is embedded in this pathway as part of unplanned care, system yet to agree early planning process for planned care, and	n/a
Chg 2	Systems to monitor patient flow	Established	Established	Established	Mature	Mature		mature eg. Daily dishcarge hub is established and working well on both sites and is considered mature, however the element of demand and capacity modelling needs to be refrheshed.	Commissioners refreshing demand and capacity modelling, SC have commissioned additionanl Pathway 3 beds, linked with STP Neighbourhoods work, link to Safer Budle and Red2Green on all wards	n/a
Chg 3	Multi-disciplinary/multi- agency discharge teams	Mature	Mature	Mature	Mature	Mature	Multidiscipliary teams work together to through the discharge hubs, FFAs completed, training and development taken place across acute staff and discharge teams. Dishcarge to Assesss steering group supports any issues	Challenges are worked through the D2A subgroup of the A&E Delivery Board	Integrated teams use a single assessment, and integrated discharge to assess arrange in place for all complex discharge, however work underway to audit why some decisions take a long time	n/a
Chg 4	Home first/discharge to assess	Mature	Mature	Mature	Mature	Mature	achieving targets regarding discharge within 48 hours of completion of the FFA, working to audit 48 hour visit by specialist (social worker or therapist) in the community following discharge	more work needed to improve pathway for those with cognitive impairment - audit being completed	discharge following FFA, Implemented additional pathway 3 beds, Implementing Let's Talk local sessions in hospital to improve communication and understanding by patient,	n/a
Chg 5	Seven-day service	Not yet established	Not yet established	Not yet established	Plans in place	Established		Part of the STP planning process and significant issues relating to workforce have been acknowledged. The workforce stream of the STP is developing plans.	Working with STP transformational programme to develop 7 day services, ICS service specification has been reviewed and an update included in the BCF plan, brokerage being trialled 6 day per week,	n/a
Chg 6	Trusted assessors	Established	Established	Established	Established	Mature		Established for pathway 1&2 but not for pathway 3, system needs to complete demand and capacity modelling to determine P3 requirements	Care act requirements are incorporated in into pathways/ revision of the FFA, DTOC definitions and processes, Trusted Assessor for Care Home has been established and expected to bed in over the next 6 months	n/a
Chg 7	Focus on choice	Established	Established	Established	Established	Mature		consistency of approach a challenge, established in the acute hospital but not yet established within the Community Trust	A system choice communication plan is being developed and all literature is being reviewed. It will link to multidisciplinary discharge team, development of information and development of LTL in the acute hospital	n/a
Chg 8	Enhancing health in care homes	Established	Established	Established	Mature	Mature		whole health and social care community and primary care support, there is variation between care homes on flow to the hospital. Timeliness of this work is challenged due to	Review to take place to understand variation and clinical input to care homes, need to ensure that support for care homes is joined up and embedded in the out of hospital model	n/a

Hospital Transfer Protocol (or the Red Bag Scheme)
Please report on implementation of a Hospital Transfer Protocol (also known as the 'Red Bag scheme') to enhance communication and information sharing when residents move between care settings and hospital.

		Q2 17/18	Q3 17/18	Q4 17/18 (Planned)	Q1 18/19 (Planned)	Q2 18/19 (Planned)	If there are no plans to implement such a scheme, please provide a narrative on alternative mitigations in place to support improved communications in hospital transfer arrangements for social care residents.	Challenges	Achievements / Impact	Support needs
UEC	Red Bag scheme	Not yet established	1	-	1 '	Not yet established	funding is not available for this scheme	funding / knowledge and buy in to scheme	na	na

5. Income & Expenditure

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board: Shropshire

Income

		2017/18										
		Plar	nned				Act	tual				
Disabled Facilities Grant	£	2,736,187		_		£	2,736,187					
Improved Better Care Fund	£	6,193,580				£	6,193,580					
CCG Minimum Fund	£	19,647,698				£	19,647,698					
Minimum Subtotal			£	28,577,465				£	28,577,465			
CCG Additional Contribution						£	- '					
LA Additional Contribution	£	699,637				£	699,637					
Additional Subtotal			£	699,637	•			£	699,637			

	Plan	ned 17/18	Actı	ual 17/18
Total BCF Pooled Fund	£	29,277,102	£	29,277,102

Please provide any comments that may be useful for local context where there is a difference between planned and actual income for 2017/18

Expenditure

		2017/18		
Plan	£	29,277,102		
Actual	£	23,826,255		

£1,367,730 DFG balance carried forward into 2018/19. The remaining balance has been fully awarded as grants; the Local Authority is simply waiting for works to be completed and expenditure to materialise within the ledger.

Please provide any comments that may be useful for local context where there is a difference between the planned and actual expenditure for 2017/18

£3,866,294 iBCF balance carried forward into 2018/19. Shropshire Council has adopted an approach of prioritising expenditure on adults social care, meaning that existing social care services were already fully funded within the Council's 2017/18 revenue budget. Due to the late notification of the grant and delay to accompanying guidance, new schemes were not operational until Autumn/Winter 2017. The remaining grant balance is fully allocated over the next two financial years.

elected Health and Wellbeing Board:	Shropshire

Statement:	Response:	Comments: Please detail any further supporting information for each response
The overall delivery of the BCF has improved joint working between health and social care in our locality	Agree	The Better Care Fund has provided an opportunity for the system to collectively consider priorities and commissioning intentions. It has not always been straightforward but the BCF has been a catalyst for coming together.
Our BCF schemes were implemented as planned in 2017/18	Neither agree nor disagree	partially agree. We used 17/18 to fully review all schemes and determine value for money, and to consider how to move forward for 19/20. A result of this is that not all schemes have been implemented.
The delivery of our BCF plan in 2017/18 had a positive impact on the integration of health and social care in our locality	Agree	As in question 1 above
The delivery of our BCF plan in 2017/18 has contributed positively to managing the levels of Non-Elective Admissions	Agree	We are working hard across all priorities of the BCF to support people in shropshire to have improved the health and wellbeing; this includes keeping people out of hospital. The key schems for this work are the Frailty Front door pilot, (as described in the narrative and Q3 return), Carers support, and ICS.
The delivery of our BCF plan in 2017/18 has contributed positively to managing the levels of Delayed Transfers of Care	Agree	Again the frailty programme has supported this aim as well has the dementia work and end of life support.
6. The delivery of our BCF plan in 2017/18 has contributed positively to managing the proportion of older people (aged 65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement/rehabilitation services	Agree	Multiple schemes have supported this aim; working collectively to support wellbeing, carers, care navigation and social prescribing, housing, ensuring that people have the right support in their communities has been key to delivering this metric.
7. The delivery of our BCF plan in 2017/18 has contributed positively to managing the rate of residential and nursing care home admissions for older people (aged 65 and over)	Agree	As above, keeping people at home is a key focus for this health economy.

art 2: Successes and Challenges
lease select two Enablers from the SCIE Logic model which you have observed demonstrable success in progressing and three Enablers which you have experienced a relatively greater degree of challenge in progressing. Please provide a

Outline two key successes observed toward driving the enablers for integration (expressed in SCIE's logical model) in 2017/18.		Response - Please detail your greatest successes
	5. Integrated workforce: joint approach to training and upskilling of workforce	Joint approach to Social Prescribing, Integrated Community Services, Carers support, and Mental health (amongst others) have all contributed to this success.
Success 2	8. Pooled or aligned resources	Pooling and aligning resources has allowed the system to work collectively on key priority areas.

Outline two key challenges observed toward driving the enablers for integration (expressed in SCIE's logical model) in 2017/18.	SCIE Logic Model Enablers, Response category:	Response - Please detail your greatest challenges
Challenge 1	financial health, funding arrangements,	Funding deficit means that attention is focussed on reducing deficit, rather than potential improvements to joint working. Delivering services in a rural county is one of the underlying issues that exacerbates funding issues; this does not always seem to be recognised by central government.
Challenge 2	Strong, system-wide governance and systems leadership	While the system is working toward stronger system leadership, there is still much work to do here.

- Footnotes:

 Question 8 and 9 are should be assigned to one of the following categories:

 1. Local contextual factors (e.g. financial health, funding arrangements, demographics, urban vs rurual factors)

 2. Strong, system-wide governance and systems leadership

 3. Integrated electronic records and sharing across the system with service users

 4. Empowering users to have choice and control through an asset based approach, shared decision making and co-production

 5. Integrated workforce; bind approach to training and upskilling of workforce

 6. Good quality and sustainable provider market that can meet demand

 7. Joined-up regulatory approach

 8. Pooled or aligned resources

 9. Joint commissioning of health and social care

 Other

7. Narrative

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

Shropshire

Remaining Characters:

.830

Progress against local plan for integration of health and social care

Eight High Impact Model is supporting joint and integrated operations that include discharge to assess and multidisciplinary teams working together to improve services and patient/ service user experience. Real progress has been made with this model providing very good delayed transfer figures for the end of 2017/18 and into 2018.

BCF schemes in each priority area, Prevention, Admissions Avoidance and Transfers of Care, are moving forward well and reported to the Joint Commissioning Group, HWBB and the 8 High Impact Model to the A&E delivery group.

Prevention Highlights:

- Social Prescribing is developing at pace. The VCSE bid for the (DOH) fund for social prescribing and the programme was not successful unfortunately, however the programme is still moving forward and moved from the demonstrator site in Oswestry to 3 areas in the South of the County (Albrighton and Bishops Castle have started referrals), while detailed discussions are taking place in Shrewsbury;
- Social Prescribing is working to focus on systematically identifying people who are at health risk through GP records and a variety of referral organisations. The risks being considered include (but not limited to) mild frailty, diabetes, CVD, isolation and loneliness, carers, mental health.
- The work to draw together and integrate care navigation across primary care and social care has been integrated into 'out of hospital' programmer and Public Health and ASC are working to integrated 'let's talk local' sessions and social prescribing.
- Shropshire will be implementing the National Diabetes Prevention Programme in line with the local BCF programme for diabetes and CVD prevention;

emaining Characters:

6 621

Integration success story highlight over the past quarter

We have been working across health and care to develop an integrated level of support that includes care navigation, social prescribing, and social care.
This programme is about developing a system where support is clear and accessible, and where people aren't bounced around the system. Our vision: 'We are an Alliance, helping people to live as well as they can'

What does this mean?

We will have a common brand

We will enhance what we have available, using our combined skills, abilities and resources to best effect for the people of Shropshire We will include communities and partners as well as formal organisations

We will empower people

There will be an understood route to appropriate support

Principles:Creating seamless, clear and more efficient services / support for our population

Make best use of resource and best use of resource we already have in place (don't develop something new if it exists already) – An alliance

Work to clear organisational outcomes – healthier populations, self care

All decisions taken to create confidence in the system, to support workforce development, and new cultures

Supporting people and communities to support themselves (don't do for people and communities what they can do for themselves) What 'health' means is

Please tell us about the progress made locally to the area's vision and plan for integration set out in your BCF narrative plan for 2017-19. This might include significant milestones met, any agreed variations to the plan and any challenges.

Please tell us about an integration success story observed over the past quarter highlighting the nature of the service or scheme and the related impact.

Checklist

<< Link to Guidance tab

Complete Template

1. Cover

	Cell Reference	Checker
Health & Wellbeing Board	C8	Yes
Completed by:	C10	Yes
E-mail:	C12	Yes
Contact number:	C14	Yes
Who signed off the report on behalf of the Health and Wellbeing Board:	C16	Yes

Sheet Complete: Yes

2. National Conditions & s75

	Cell Reference	Checker
1) Plans to be jointly agreed?	C8	Yes
2) Social care from CCG minimum contribution agreed in line with Planning Requirements?	C9	Yes
3) Agreement to invest in NHS commissioned out of hospital services?	C10	Yes
4) Managing transfers of care?	C11	Yes
1) Plans to be jointly agreed? If no please detail	D8	Yes
2) Social care from CCG minimum contribution agreed in line with Planning Requirements? If no please detail	D9	Yes
3) Agreement to invest in NHS commissioned out of hospital services? If no please detail	D10	Yes
4) Managing transfers of care? If no please detail	D11	Yes
Have the funds been pooled via a s.75 pooled budget?	C15	Yes
Have the funds been pooled via a s.75 pooled budget? If no, please detail	D15	Yes
Have the funds been pooled via a s.75 pooled budget? If no, please indicate when	E15	Yes

Sheet Complete: Yes

3. Metrics

	Cell Reference	Checker
NEA Target performance	D7	Yes
Res Admissions Target performance	D8	Yes
Reablement Target performance	D9	Yes
DToC Target performance	D10	Yes
NEA Challenges	E7	Yes
Res Admissions Challenges	E8	Yes
Reablement Challenges	E9	Yes
DToC Challenges	E10	Yes
NEA Achievements	F7	Yes
Res Admissions Achievements	F8	Yes
Reablement Achievements	F9	Yes
DToC Achievements	F10	Yes
NEA Support Needs	G7	Yes
Res Admissions Support Needs	G8	Yes
Reablement Support Needs	G9	Yes
DToC Support Needs	G10	Yes

Sheet Complete: Yes

4. HICM

····	Cell Reference	Checker
Chg 1 - Early discharge planning Q4	H8	Yes
Chg 2 - Systems to monitor patient flow Q4	Н9	Yes
Chg 3 - Multi-disciplinary/multi-agency discharge teams Q4	H10	Yes
Chg 4 - Home first/discharge to assess Q4	H11	Yes
Chg 5 - Seven-day service Q4	H12	Yes
Chg 6 - Trusted assessors Q4	H13	Yes
Chg 7 - Focus on choice Q4	H14	Yes
Chg 8 - Enhancing health in care homes Q4	H15	Yes
UEC - Red Bag scheme Q4	H19	Yes
Chg 1 - Early discharge planning Q1 18/19 Plan	18	Yes
Chg 2 - Systems to monitor patient flow Q1 18/19 Plan	19	Yes
Chg 3 - Multi-disciplinary/multi-agency discharge teams Q1 18/19 Plan	110	Yes
Chg 4 - Home first/discharge to assess Q1 18/19 Plan	l11	Yes
Chg 5 - Seven-day service Q1 18/19 Plan	l12	Yes
Chg 6 - Trusted assessors Q1 18/19 Plan	I13	Yes
Chg 7 - Focus on choice Q1 18/19 Plan	114	Yes
Chg 8 - Enhancing health in care homes Q1 18/19 Plan	115	Yes
UEC - Red Bag scheme Q1 18/19 Plan	119	Yes
Chg 1 - Early discharge planning Q2 18/19 Plan	18	Yes
Chg 2 - Systems to monitor patient flow Q2 18/19 Plan	19	Yes
Chg 3 - Multi-disciplinary/multi-agency discharge teams Q2 18/19 Plan	J10	Yes
Chg 4 - Home first/discharge to assess Q2 18/19 Plan	J11	Yes
Chg 5 - Seven-day service Q2 18/19 Plan	J12	Yes
Chg 6 - Trusted assessors Q2 18/19 Plan	J13	Yes
Chg 7 - Focus on choice Q2 18/19 Plan	J14	Yes
Chg 8 - Enhancing health in care homes Q2 18/19 Plan	J15	Yes
UEC - Red Bag scheme Q2 18/19 Plan	J19	Yes
Chg 1 - Early discharge planning, if Mature or Exemplary please explain	K8	Yes
Chg 2 - Systems to monitor patient flow, if Mature or Exemplary please explain	К9	Yes
Chg 3 - Multi-disciplinary/multi-agency discharge teams, if Mature or Exemplary please explain	K10	Yes
Chg 4 - Home first/discharge to assess, if Mature or Exemplary please explain	K11	Yes
Chg 5 - Seven-day service, if Mature or Exemplary please explain	K12	Yes
Chg 6 - Trusted assessors, if Mature or Exemplary please explain	K13	Yes
Chg 7 - Focus on choice, if Mature or Exemplary please explain	K14	Yes
Chg 8 - Enhancing health in care homes, if Mature or Exemplary please explain	K15	Yes Yes
UEC - Red Bag scheme, if Mature or Exemplary please explain	K19 L8	
Chg 1 - Early discharge planning Challenges Chg 2 - Systems to monitor patient flow Challenges	L9	Yes Yes
Chg 3 - Multi-disciplinary/multi-agency discharge teams Challenges	L10	Yes
Chg 4 - Home first/discharge to assess Challenges	L11	Yes
Chg 5 - Seven-day service Challenges	L12	Yes
Chg 6 - Trusted assessors Challenges	L13	Yes
Chg 7 - Focus on choice Challenges	L14	Yes
Chg 8 - Enhancing health in care homes Challenges	L15	Yes
UEC - Red Bag Scheme Challenges	L19	Yes
Chg 1 - Early discharge planning Additional achievements	M8	Yes
Chg 2 - Systems to monitor patient flow Additional achievements	M9	Yes
Chg 3 - Multi-disciplinary/multi-agency discharge teams Additional achievements	M10	Yes
Chg 4 - Home first/discharge to assess Additional achievements	M11	Yes
Chg 5 - Seven-day service Additional achievements	M12	Yes
Chg 6 - Trusted assessors Additional achievements	M13	Yes
Chg 7 - Focus on choice Additional achievements	M14	Yes
Chg 8 - Enhancing health in care homes Additional achievements	M15	Yes
UEC - Red Bag Scheme Additional achievements	M19	Yes
Chg 1 - Early discharge planning Support needs	N8	Yes
Chg 2 - Systems to monitor patient flow Support needs	N9	Yes
Chg 3 - Multi-disciplinary/multi-agency discharge teams Support needs	N10	Yes
Chg 4 - Home first/discharge to assess Support needs	N11	Yes
Chg 5 - Seven-day service Support needs	N12	Yes
Chg 6 - Trusted assessors Support needs	N13	Yes
Chg 7 - Focus on choice Support needs	N14	Yes
Chg 8 - Enhancing health in care homes Support needs	N15	Yes
UEC - Red Bag Scheme Support needs	N19	Yes
- ''	•	

5. Income & Expenditure

	Cell Reference	Checker
2017/18 - Actual CCG additional contribution income	G14	Yes
2017/18 - Actual LA additional contribution income	G15	Yes
2017/18 - Difference between plan & actual income Commentary	E21	Yes
2017/18 - Actual Spend	D31	Yes
2017/18 - Difference between plan & actual expenditure Commentary	E33	Yes

Later to the second control of the second co	
Sheet Complete:	Yes

6. Year End Feedback

	Cell Reference	Checker
Statement 1 - Joint working Delivery Response	C10	Yes
Statement 2 - BCF Scheme Delivery Response	C11	Yes
Statement 3 - Health & Social Care Integration Delivery Response	C12	Yes
Statement 4 - NEA Delivery Response	C13	Yes
Statement 5 - DTOC Delivery Response	C14	Yes
Statement 6 - Reablement Delivery Response	C15	Yes
Statement 7 - Residential Admissions Delivery Response	C16	Yes
Statement 1 - Joint working Delivery Commentary	D10	Yes
Statement 2 - BCF Scheme Delivery Commentary	D11	Yes
Statement 3 - Health & Social Care Integration Delivery Commentary	D12	Yes
Statement 4 - NEA Delivery Commentary	D13	Yes
Statement 5 - DTOC Delivery Commentary	D14	Yes
Statement 6 - Reablement Delivery Commentary	D15	Yes
Statement 7 - Residential Admissions Delivery Commentary	D16	Yes
Success 1 category	C22	Yes
Success 2 category	C23	Yes
Success 1 response	D22	Yes
Success 2 response	D23	Yes
Challenge 1 category	C27	Yes
Challenge 2 category	C28	Yes
Challenge 1 response	D27	Yes
Challenge 2 response	D28	Yes

Sheet Complete: Yes	5
---------------------	---

7. Narrative

	Cell Reference	Checker
Progress against local plan for integration of health and social care	B8	Yes
Integration success story highlight over the past quarter	B12	Yes
integration success story inglinight over the past quarter	012	. 03

Sheet Complete:	Yes
-----------------	-----